



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	D. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/084,788	02/26/2002		Benjamin John Eggleton	Eggleton 26-1-1-14	6219	
7590 02/25/2004				EXAMINER		
Glen E. Books	, Esq.		NGUYEN, DUNG T			
Lowenstein San						
65 Livingston A	venue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Roseland, NJ	07068		2828			
				DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>						
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	0				
Office Action Summary		10/084,78	8	EGGLETON ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		1 - '	nael) T Nguyen	2828					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	is				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply received by the office date than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the statuod will apply and will tute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply bo tory minimum of thirty (30) l expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi cation to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commu NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 December 20	003.						
,	<u> </u>	his action is no		•					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 2-6 and 10-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-6 and 10-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the claim are subject to restriction and the claim(s) are subject to restriction and the claim are subject to restriction are subject	Irawn from cor	₹	PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER :					
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b)[objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have beel ents have beel riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applio nts have been rece e 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Sta	ge				
Attachmei	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)					
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date	.				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	08)	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152	:)				

Application/Control Number: 10/084,788

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koch et al. (US5299212).

With respect to claims 14-16 and 18-21, Koch show in Fig.3 a multi DBR laser 10 (modulated light source), a Bragg grating 21 coupled to the light source, a fiber PM coupler (col.5, 1.40-45), a thermoelectric cooler means 17, a sensor 27, detectors (19, 22) and a feedback circuit (23-26).

With respect to claim 17, Koch show in Fig.3 the Bragg grating is coupled to the source by PM optical fiber.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,788

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch et al. (US5299212) in view of Aleksoff (US6611645).

With respect to claims 2-3, 5-6, and 10-13, Koch disclose all limitations of the claims except for the tilted grating tap. Aleksoff teaches the tilted grating tap (claim10). For the benefit of a wavelength stabilized semiconductor laser, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Koch a tilted grating tap as taught by Aleksoff.

With respect to claim 4, Koch show in Fig.3 the Bragg grating is coupled to the source by PM optical fiber.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,788

Art Unit: 2828

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/12/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Koch reference does not disclose a fused fiber PM coupler. The examiner does not concur because Koch reference clearly discloses a fiber optic coupler (see col.5, 1.36-45).

Applicant argues "...Aleksoff is silent on the problem and solution of laser wavelength control based on the measurement of light supplied to a grating and a signal representative of the light reflected or transmitted by the grating...". The argument is not persuasive. With the combination of the Aleksoff tilted grating tap to Koch, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to understand that the tilted grating tap is used to control the wavelength of a laser.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

Art Unit: 2828

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571) 272-1941. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800